Karen Klinger 10-11-1 10-11-2

California Air Resources Board

December 16, 2010

1001 I Street, 2nd Floor

Byron Sher Auditorium

Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Assembly Bill 32 - I oppose AB 32.

Attention Chair Nichols and Board Members:

This Cap and Tax, also known as **AB 32**, is not a stand alone project and can not provide the public with adequate and complete Environmental Impact Reports. The complexity of AB 32 is overwhelming. There are too many Local, Regional, State, Federal, and International Partners and thousand and thousands of pages of agreements, programs, mandates and legislation at all of levels making it impossible for the average Joe to know what this is all about, how much it will cost each one of us, and how it will change how we currently live. There are no comparisons given that show the people what our costs are today and what the cost and changes would be to us tomorrow with the implementation of AB 32. It appears certain that AB 32 will not improve economic conditions or put more food on our tables or more money in our pocket or in our savings account. AB 32 is not simple to understand or transparent and should not be approved.

This is not a road map to freedom or prosperity for the poor or middle class, but just the opposite. The people are struggling to keep their family and homes in tact and this bill will continue to force them out of a job, into our streets and without a roof over their head called home. The poor and elderly are struggling to find money to pay for their only means of transportation to buy food, which is transit, and AB 32 will not reduce those costs.

I'm 71, worked most of my life, and have never seen so many businesses closing their doors in the unincorporated areas of Sacramento County where I've lived and worked for 45 years.

Is this really about what the people want and about preserving our older single family residential neighborhoods? I don't think so, because AB 32 is linked to so much more including SB 375 (Steinberg), which is coined as "Smart Growth", "Sustainability Development", "Walkable Communities", but isn't smart to me. How can this be smart when a single family residential neighborhood is planned to be replaced with higher density housing through zoning changes FROM SUBURBAN to URBAN? These zoning and land use changes will destroy older, beautiful, single family residential suburban neighborhoods with one home per lot for the sole purpose of adding and allowing INFILL redevelopment, and HIGH DENSITY HOUSING FOR INCREASING RIDERSHIP numbers that will support new Transit Villages, Stations and Infrastructure for Roadway Improvements and Projects. This is about replacing people and home types. Move private property owners out and replace with subsidized housing, rental housing, and mixed use. Government wants to control housing by only allowing a certain type of housing, size of lot, where people live, and cramming people into high density housing. This is not smart, healthy, or safe and benefits developers, investors, redevelopment agencies and a revenue stream for cities, counties and all government entities and agencies. I OPPOSE this stupidity.

AB 32 and SB 375 are also linked to Statewide City and County General Plan Updates, State, Federal and Regional Land Use Planning Associations Blue Print Plans for land and air. Another link is an International Environmental Group called CLEI (International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives) and as a Member, California cities and counties are reported to be bound by this International Charter, which includes Agenda 21 for Sustainability.

The people have not given this non elected Board also known as handlers the power and authority to implement AB 32 and SB 375. I believe this non elected board is using powers and authority that the people only believe should be given to our Elected Officials.

The environment is negatively impacted by the encouragement of higher density housing and more growth. This is a loss of my freedom and my

right to live as I do now in a suburban single family residential neighborhood without constant interference and cost to me from more and more government and government programs. This will negatively impact the poor and add more Californians into the poor category, unless of course people leave to find work, happiness, and tranquility in another State.

There has not been appropriate outreach to the public or full disclosure telling the people what AB 32 really is, what it is linked to, and how all of the other links will accumulatively impact us. Knowing these many links exist, were they included in the DEIR and FEID?

Thank you and please do not approve the implementation of AB 32.

Karen Klinger, Sacramento Real Estate Broker

Kerner Kerfer

1097 Castec Drive

Sacramento, CA 95864\\

916-481-1071